# Fitch Assigns Kommunalkredit Austria 'BBB-' Long-Term IDR; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings - Frankfurt am Main - 22 Mar 2021: Fitch Ratings has assigned Austrian infrastructure and energy financing bank Kommunalkredit Austria AG (KA) a Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) of 'BBB-' with a Stable Outlook and a Viability Rating (VR) of 'bbb-'. A full list of rating actions is below.

KA's ratings reflect its well-established niche franchise in infrastructure and energy finance, strong record of asset quality, experienced management team and adequate risk-management structure. The ratings also reflect the bank's small capital base (in absolute terms), which leads to concentration risks and increases the bank's sensitivity to individual credit events or outsized operational losses, as well as its reliance on a diversified base of syndication partners.

#### **Key Rating Drivers**

#### IDRs and VR

KA's Long-Term IDR is aligned with its VR and reflects the bank's solid record in operating its niche franchise, focusing on European infrastructure and energy financing under variable market conditions and so far without asset-quality deterioration since the outbreak of the Covid-19 pandemic. The growth of the infrastructure and energy finance loan portfolio appears to have been well controlled so far.

Fitch believes that KA's highly experienced management team and risk-management infrastructure are adequate to control the risk that inherently arises from the bank's business model, strategy and growth ambitions.

KA's small capital base results in a significant number of large single loan exposures in relation to its capital. This limits the benefits arising from the loan portfolio's sound diversification by geography, asset class and industrial sector. This is unlikely to change in the foreseeable future as we believe that capital injections by KA's owners to support its growth would only moderately improve concentration risk relative to its equity.

The combination of relatively large project-financing transactions and KA's small capital base renders the bank dependent on a reliable and diversified base of syndication partners both pre- and postcommitment under variable market conditions. This is mitigated by the "originate to collaborate" approach (i.e. syndication to a pool of recurring investors) of KA's credit approval process, its established and diversified syndication pipeline and its infrastructure debt fund targeted at non-bank institutional investors. KA has adequate returns, enabled by its clearly defined niche strategy (which allows a focus on infrastructure and energy financing transactions with adequate risk/return profiles), lean cost base, and flexibility derived from its small size. Its underwriting standards and risk controls appear satisfactory. Its targeting of rapid new-business growth, planned small equity participations and selected higher-risk project financing in recent years indicate some appetite for higher-risk, opportunistic transactions, although we expect the bank's exposure to such transactions to remain moderate and well-controlled.

KA's main source of risk is project risk inherent in the infrastructure assets that represent an increasing proportion of its loan book, while market and operational risks appear relatively limited. The bank's asset quality benefits from its low-risk municipal loan book (largely in Austria), which will continue to decrease but still makes up about 40% of the loan book.

To date and one year since the outbreak of the pandemic, the bank has not reported any nonperforming loans (NPLs), but impairments may arise as its infrastructure loan book seasons. Given the bulky nature of the bank's loan book, single NPLs could significantly inflate its NPL ratio.

The bank's funding profile is stable, with a sizeable and growing stock of customer deposits. Funding diversification is adequate and likely to increase as KA plans to make more substantial use of capital market funding. Its liquidity is healthy.

#### SUPPORT RATING (SR) AND SUPPORT RATING FLOOR (SRF)

KA's IDRs are driven by its VR and do not assume that extraordinary external support can be relied upon. The SR and SRF reflect KA's lack of systemic importance and our view that the EU's resolution framework is likely to require senior creditors participating in losses, if necessary, instead or ahead of a bank receiving sovereign support. The SR does not factor in any support from KA's owners either, because Fitch generally considers that support from financial investors, while possible, cannot be relied upon.

### **RATING SENSITIVITIES**

#### IDRs AND VR

The Stable Outlook on the Long-Term IDR reflects Fitch's view that KA's asset quality, profitability and capitalisation offer adequate headroom to absorb a reasonable stress scenario while remaining commensurate with the current VR level.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade

An upgrade of the IDRs and the VR is highly unlikely in the medium term and would require a major reduction in single-name concentration. This would necessitate a very substantial growth of KA's capital base, for instance through a large capital injection from the owners.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade

We could downgrade the ratings if the bank incurs multiple defaults in its project finance portfolio or if it loosens its limits and underwriting standards to enable further opportunistic lending to higher-risk types of projects, more aggressive project-financing structures or junior financing.

We could also downgrade the ratings if aggressive RWA growth without matching capital increases results in an increasing usage of KA's large exposure limit. A downgrade could also result from a significant deterioration in the bank's ability to syndicate its lending commitments to a sizeable pool of external investors, a change in the investment policy of its infrastructure debt fund or a lack of independence in the fund's asset-selection process resulting in lower-quality assets being allocated to the fund.

#### SR AND SRF

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

An upgrade of the SR and upward revision of the SRF would be contingent on a positive change in the Austrian sovereign's propensity to provide support. In light of the prevailing regulatory framework and KA's low systemic importance, we believe this is highly unlikely.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade

KA's SR and SRF are at the lowest levels on Fitch's rating scales and therefore cannot be downgraded.

## **Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario**

International scale credit ratings of Financial Institutions and Covered Bond issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings.com/site/re/ 10111579]

## **Date of Relevant Committee**

11 March 2021

## REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

### **ESG Considerations**

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg.

## **Fitch Ratings Analysts**

#### **Patrick Rioual**

Senior Director Primary Rating Analyst +49 69 768076 123 Fitch Ratings – a branch of Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited Neue Mainzer Strasse 46 - 50 Frankfurt am Main D-60311

#### Marco Diamantini

Associate Director Secondary Rating Analyst +49 69 768076 114

#### **Christian Kuendig**

Senior Director Committee Chairperson +44 20 3530 1399

## **Media Contacts**

### Adrian Simpson

London +44 20 3530 1010 adrian.simpson@thefitchgroup.com

#### Louisa Williams

London +44 20 3530 2452 louisa.williams@thefitchgroup.com

### **Rating Actions**

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING			RECOVERY	PRIOR
Kommunalkredi Austria AG	t LT IDR	BBB- O	New Rating		WD

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING			RECOVERY	PRIOR
	ST IDR	F3	New Rating		WD
	Viability	bbb-	New Rating		WD
	Support	5	New Rating		WD
	Support Floor	NF	New Rating		WD

## RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

POSITIVE	0	♦
NEGATIVE	•	Ŷ
EVOLVING	0	•
STABLE	0	

### **Applicable Criteria**

Bank Rating Criteria (pub.28 Feb 2020) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

### **Additional Disclosures**

Solicitation Status

**Endorsement Status** 

### Disclaimer

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS. IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATING-DEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/ SITE/REGULATORY. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN ESMA- OR FCA-REGISTERED FITCH RATINGS COMPANY (OR BRANCH OF SUCH A COMPANY) CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH RATINGS WEBSITE.

## Copyright

Copyright © 2021 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third- party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001 Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

## **Endorsement policy**

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's **Regulatory Affairs** page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.